



INTERACT
FOR HEALTH

Responsive Fund 2026

Scoring Rubric

Title

Character Limit: 100

Focuses on Priority Populations*

Focus on people and communities who are:

- Black
- Hispanic
- Children and families with low incomes (200% Federal Poverty Level)
- Rural (Low density/Low population)
- People who identify as LGBTQ+
- Immigrant and refugee communities

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (There is no intentional effort to prioritize or focus on the priority populations.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good

4 = Strong (An intentional focus on the priority populations is clearly demonstrated.)

Scoring Options: 0 - 4

Mission Alignment*

Organizations working in alignment with our mission of advancing health justice and youth well-being

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (There is no mention of advancing health justice or youth well-being in the proposal/work of the organization.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good

4 = Strong (A clear focus on advancing health justice or youth well-being.)

Scoring Options: 0 - 4

Values Alignment*

Organizations who are in alignment with our values.

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (No values alignment.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good

4 = Strong (Clear alignment to our values.)

Scoring Options: 0 - 4

Resource Uniqueness*

Critical gaps are not met by other resources and potential harm to the community if the need remains unmet.

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (Need is being met elsewhere and/or there is not potential harm to the community if it remains unmet.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good

4 = Strong (There is a clear gap in this resource and potential harm to the community if it is unmet.)

Scoring Options: 0 - 4

Implementation and Community Resource Deployment*

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (No immediate time-sensitivity to the need and no plan for deploying resources rapidly.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good

4 = Strong (Immediate need and plan for deploying resources rapidly is clear.)

Scoring Options: 0 - 4

Authentic Engagement*

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (There is no documented track record and deep-rooted relationships in the communities they serve.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good

4 = Strong (Documented track record and deep-rooted relationships in the communities they serve.)

Scoring Options: 0 - 4

Defining Success*

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (The organization's goals and processes are unclear and/or unfeasible given the grant term and funding and/or do not align with the goals of the RFP.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good

4 = Strong (The goals to be accomplished during the grant term are clear, feasible and aligned with the goals of the RFP.)

Scoring Options: 0 - 4

Reviewer Comments or Feedback

Character Limit: 2000

Do you recommend that we fund this organization?*

Choices

Yes

No