

Responsive Fund – Sustainability Program: Decision Making Criteria

Focuses on Priority Populations

The organization focuses on people and communities who are:

- Black
- Hispanic
- Children and families with low incomes (200% Federal Poverty Level)
- Rural (Low density/Low population)
- People who identify as LGBTQ+
- Immigrant and refugee communities

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (There is no intentional effort to prioritize or focus on the priority populations.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good

4 = Strong (An intentional focus on the priority populations is clearly demonstrated.)

Scoring Options: 0 - 4

Mission Alignment

Organizations working in alignment with our mission of advancing health justice and youth well-being

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (There is no mention of advancing health justice or youth well-being in the proposal/work of the organization.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good

4 = Strong (A clear focus on advancing health justice or youth well-being.)

Scoring Options: 0 - 4

Values Alignment

Organizations who are in alignment with our values.

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (No values alignment.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good
4 = Strong (Clear alignment to our values.)

Scoring Options: 0 – 4

Goals, Projects, or Organizational Priorities

Clear and focused priorities that align with the scope of the sustainability program outlined in the RFP.

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (No clear goals, projects, or organizational priorities identified.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good

4 = Strong (Clear, specific, and well-defined priorities that align with the scope of the RFP.)

Scoring Options: 0 - 4

Barriers to Participation

Honest identification and self-awareness of potential barriers and risks to this work.

Scale:

0 = No Evidence (No identification or barriers or challenges that may be faced.)

1 = Poor

2 = Average

3 = Good

4 = Strong (Thoughtful, realistic identification of barriers, demonstrates self-awareness and consideration of potential challenges.)

Scoring Options: 0 - 4